arXiv:1209.4380v1 [math.RT] 19 Sep 2012

THE EXTENDED AFFINE LIE ALGEBRA ASSOCIATED WITH A CONNECTED NON-NEGATIVE UNIT FORM

GUSTAVO JASSO

Abstract. Given a connected non-negative unit form we construct an extended affine Lie algebra by giving a Chevalley basis for it. We also obtain this algebra as a quotient of an algebra defined by means of generalized Serre relations by M. Barot, D. Kussin and H. Lenzing. This construction generalizes the construction of the simply-laced affine Kac-Moody algebras to higher coranks. Thus, we obtain a family of extended affine Lie algebras of simply-laced Dynkin type and arbitrary nullity. Furthermore, there is a one-to-one correspondence between these Lie algebras and the equivalence classes of connected non-negative unit forms.

1. Introduction

A *unit form* is a quadratic form $q : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}$ of the form

$$q(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 + \sum_{i<j} q_{ij} x_i x_j \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

such that $q_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant n$. We say that q is *positive* (resp. *non-negative*) if $q(x) > 0$ (resp. $q(x) \geqslant 0$) for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Recall that any unit form has an *associated symmetric matrix* $C = C_q \in \mathbb{Z}^{n\times n}$ defined by

$$C_{ij} := q(c_i + c_j) - q(c_i) - q(c_j),$$

where $c_1, \dots, c_n$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Also, recall that

$$q(x, y) := x^\top C y, \text{ for } x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$$

is the *symmetric bilinear form associated with* q. The *radical* of q is the pure subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ defined by

$$\operatorname{rad} q := \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n : q(x, \cdot) = 0\}.$$

Further, we set $\operatorname{corank} q := \operatorname{rank}(\operatorname{rad} q)$. Observe that $\operatorname{rad} q \subseteq q^{-1}(0)$. If q is non-negative, then $\operatorname{rad} q = q^{-1}(0)$. The *associated bigraph of* q is the graph whith vertices $1, \dots, n$ and $|q_{ij}|$ solid edges between vertices i and j if $q_{ij} < 0$ and $|q_{ij}|$ dotted edges between i and j if $q_{ij} > 0$. We say that a unit form is *connected* if it's associated bigraph is connected. We say that two non-negative unit forms q and q' are *equivalent* if there exist an automorphism T of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $q' = q \circ T$. It was shown in [3, Thm. (Dynkin-types)] that the equivalence class of a non-negative unit form is completely determined by $\operatorname{corank} q$ and the *Dynkin type of* q, which is a disjoint union of Dynkin diagrams, *cf.* [2].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B67.

The author wishes to acknowledge the constant support of Prof. Michael Barot who directed the author's undergraduate thesis, from which this article is derived.

To each unit form $q : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}$, M. Barot, D. Kussin and H. Lenzing associate a Lie algebra $\tilde{G}(q)$ by means of generalized Serre relations, *cf.* [2]. When q is a connected non-negative unit form with $\operatorname{corank} q \in \{0, 1\}$ it turns out that the algebras $\tilde{G}(q)$ are exactly the simply-laced finite dimensional simple Lie algebras or the simply-laced affine Kac-Moody algebras respectively, *cf.* [2, Thm. 1.3]. This algebras have several interesting properties. First, they have a root space decomposition with respect to a finite dimensional abelian Cartan subalgebra $\tilde{H}$ with the roots of q (*i.e.* the set $R(q) := q^{-1}(0) \cup q^{-1}(1)$) as root system, *cf.* [2, Prop. 5.3]. Moreover, if the form q is connected and non-negative then $R(q)$ is an extended affine root system (EARS), *cf.* Definition 2.2 and Proposition 2.4. The algebras $\tilde{G}(q)$ are very close to being extended affine Lie algebras (EALAs), *cf.* Definition 2.1, but in general they lack an invariant non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form, *cf.* Theorem 1.2.

The main objective of this article is to relate the work of [2] to the theory of EALAs, and to give a family of examples of EALAs of simply-laced type of arbitrary nullity that can be described combinatorially.

In Section 2 we recall the definitions of an EALA and of an EARS. We also show how to associate an EARS to a given connected non-negative unit form, *cf.* Proposition 2.4. In Section 3, given a connected non-negative unit form q, we construct a Lie algebra $\mathcal{E}^\rho(q)$ with root system $R(q)$ and with nullity the corank of q. This construction is a modification of the construction of [2, Sec. 2]. The modification concerns both the Cartan subalgebra and the root spaces associated to non-isotropic roots. We also prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $q : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a connected non-negative unit form with associated root system $R(q)$. Then the Lie algebra $\mathcal{E}^\rho(q)$ is an EALA with root system $R(q)$. Furthermore, if q' is a connected non-negative unit form which is equivalent to q, then there is an isomorphism of graded algebras between $\mathcal{E}^\rho(q)$ and $\mathcal{E}^\rho(q')$ which preserves the bilinear form of $\mathcal{E}^\rho(q)$.*

In Section 4 we recall the construction of the Lie algebra $\tilde{G}(q)$ by means of generalized Serre relations, *cf.* [2]. We show that $\mathcal{E}^\rho(q)$ can also be obtained in a way that imitates the construction of the affine Kac-Moody algebras, *cf.* [5, Chapter 14]. More precisely:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $q : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a connected non-negative unit form. Then there is an isomorphism of graded Lie algebras between $\tilde{G}^\rho(q)/I$ and $\mathcal{E}^\rho(q)$ where I is the unique maximal ideal of $\tilde{G}^\rho(q)$ with $I \cap \tilde{H} = \{0\}$.*

Remark 1.3. It should be observed that the assumption of q being a connected non-negative *unit* form is made in order for $R(q) = q^{-1}(0) \cup q^{-1}(1)$ to be an EARS. This fact is not needed in the construction of the Lie algebras $\tilde{G}(q)$, *cf.* [2], but we use this root system to endow the vector space $\mathcal{E}^\rho(q)$ with a Lie algebra structure, *cf.* Section 3. In order to obtain EALAs of reduced non-simply laced type using similar methods to the ones exposed here, it may be natural to replace unit forms with *integral* forms. An integral form is a quadratic form $q : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}$ of the form

$$q(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i x_i^2 + \sum_{i<j} q_{ij} x_i x_j \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

such that $\frac{q_{ij}}{q_i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n$ and $q_i > 0$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. The problem is that $R(q)$ is not an EARS in general when considering this larger class of quadratic forms.

2. Extended Affine Lie Algebras and Their Root Systems

We recall some definitions and notations from [1]. Afterwards, we present a straightforward way to associate an EARS with a connected non-negative unit form, *cf.* Construction 2.3 and Proposition 2.4.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Lie algebra over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers and consider the following properties:

(EA1) $\mathcal{L}$ has a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form

$$(\cdot,\cdot) : \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{C}$$

which is invariant in the sense that

$$([x,y],z) = (x,[y,z]) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in \mathcal{L}.$$

(EA2) $\mathcal{L}$ has a non-trivial finite dimensional abelian subalgebra H which equals it's own centralizer in $\mathcal{L}$ and such that $\operatorname{ad}_{\mathcal{L}} h$ is diagonalizable for all $h \in H$.

It follows from (EA2) that $\mathcal{L}$ admits a root space decomposition

$$\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in H^*} \mathcal{L}_\alpha,$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_\alpha = \{x \in \mathcal{L} : [h,x] = \alpha(h)x \text{ for all } h \in H\}$$

for each $\alpha \in H^*$. We call

$$R = \{\alpha \in H^* : \mathcal{L}_\alpha \neq \{0\}\}$$

the *root system of* $\mathcal{L}$ *with respect to* H. Since the form $(\cdot,\cdot)$ is invariant, we have that the restriction of the form to H is non-degenerate; thus we may transfer $(\cdot,\cdot)$ to H^* via the induced isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} H &\to H^* \\ h &\mapsto (h,\cdot). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we let

$$R^\times = \{\alpha \in R : (\alpha,\alpha) = 0\} \text{ and } R^0 = R \setminus \{0\}.$$

We call $R^\times$ the set of *non-isotropic roots* and R^0 the set of *isotropic roots*.

(EA3) If $\alpha \in R^\times$ is a non-isotropic root and $x_\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha$, then $\operatorname{ad}_{\mathcal{L}} x_\alpha$ acts locally nilpotently on $\mathcal{L}$. That is, for each $y \in \mathcal{L}$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that

$$(\operatorname{ad}_{\mathcal{L}} x_\alpha)^n y = 0.$$

(EA4) R is a discrete subset of H^* (in the topological sense).

(EA5) $\mathcal{L}$ is irreducible, *i.e.* $R^\times$ cannot be decomposed as a disjoint union $R_1 \dot\cup R_2$ where R_1, R_2 are non-empty subsets of $R^\times$ satisfying $(R_1,R_2) = \{0\}$.

Definition 2.1. [1, Ch. I] Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ such that it satisfies the five properties (EA1)-(EA5). Then, the triple $(\mathcal{L}, H, (\cdot,\cdot))$ is called an *extended affine Lie algebra* (EALA for short).

The root systems of EALAs can be axiomatically described. They belong to the class of extended affine root systems.

Definition 2.2. [1, Ch. II, Def. 2.1] Assume that $\mathcal{V}$ is a finite dimensional real vector space with a non-negative symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot,\cdot)$, and that R is a subset of $\mathcal{V}$. Let

$$R^\times = \{\alpha \in R : (\alpha,\alpha) \neq 0\} \text{ and } R^0 = \{\alpha \in R : (\alpha,\alpha) = 0\}.$$

We call R an *extended affine root system* (or an EARS) in $\mathcal{V}$ if R satisfies the following axioms:

(R1) $0 \in R$.
(R2) $-R = R$.
(R3) R spans $\mathcal{V}$.
(R4) If $\alpha \in R^\times$ then $2\alpha \notin R$.
(R5) R is discrete in $\mathcal{V}$.
(R6) If $\alpha \in R^\times$and$\beta \in R$ then there exist $d, u \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$ such that

$$\{\beta + n\alpha : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cap R = \{\beta - d\alpha, \ldots, \beta + u\alpha\} \text{ and } d - u = 2\frac{(\alpha,\beta)}{(\alpha,\alpha)}.$$

(R7) $R^\times$ cannot be decomposed as a disjoint union $R_1 \dot\cup R_2$ where R_1, R_2 are non-empty subsets of $R^\times$ satisfying $(R_1, R_2) = \{0\}$.
(R8) For any $\sigma \in R^0$ there exists $\alpha \in R^\times$ such that $\alpha + \sigma \in R$.

We recall a construction from [1] which describes the structure of EARS. In fact, EARS are completely characterized by this construction, *cf.* [1, Ch. II, Thm. 2.37]. We only present here the simply-laced case as it is the only one we will need.

Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a finite dimensional real vector space. A *lattice in* $\mathcal{U}$ is a subgroup Λ of the additive group of $\mathcal{U}$ which spans $\mathcal{U}$ and is discrete in $\mathcal{U}$. If $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{U} = \nu$ we say that Λ is a lattice of rank ν. Let $S \subset \mathcal{U}$. We say that S is a *semilattice in* $\mathcal{U}$ if S satisfies the following axioms

(SL1) $0 \in S$.
(SL2) $-S = S$.
(SL3) $S + 2S \subset S$.
(SL4) S spans $\mathcal{U}$.
(SL5) S is discrete in $\mathcal{U}$.

Observe that if we replace (SL3) with the stronger assumption that $S + S \subset S$, we have the previous definition of a lattice.

Construction 2.3. [1, Ch. II, Constr. 2.32] Suppose $\dot{R}$ is an irreducible finite dimensional root system (which contains 0, *cf.* [1, Ch. I, Definition 2.17]) of simply-laced type Δ, *i.e.* $\Delta = \mathbb{A}_l$ ($\ell \geqslant 1$), $\mathbb{D}_l$ ($\ell \geqslant 4$), $\mathbb{E}_6$, $\mathbb{E}_7$ or $\mathbb{E}_8$ in a finite dimensional real vector space $\dot{\mathcal{V}}$ with positive definite symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot,\cdot)$. Let $\mathcal{V}^0$ be a finite dimensional real vector space, let $\mathcal{V} = \dot{\mathcal{V}} \oplus \mathcal{V}^0$ and extend $(\cdot,\cdot)$ to $\mathcal{V}$ in such a way that $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}^0) = \{0\}$. Suppose S is a semilattice in $\mathcal{V}^0$. If $\Delta \neq \mathbb{A}_1$, suppose further that S is a lattice in $\mathcal{V}^0$. Put

$$R = R(\Delta, S) := (S + S) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\dot\alpha \in \dot{R}^\times} (\dot\alpha + S) \right).$$

The following proposition shows that there is a straightforward way to associate an EARS to a given connected non-negative unit form.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $q : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a connected non-negative unit form. Then $R(q) = q^{-1}(0) \cup q^{-1}(1) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an EARS with respect to the symmetric bilinear form of q.*

Proof. All but axioms (R6) and (R7) are readily verified (observe that $c_i \in R(q)$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$). We first show that $R(q)$ verifies axiom (R6). Let $\alpha \in q^{-1}(1)$, $\beta \in R(q)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varepsilon(n) := q(\beta + n\alpha)$. Thus, $\beta + n\alpha \in R(q)$ if and only if $\varepsilon(n) \in \{0, 1\}$. First, observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon(n) &= q'(\beta + n\alpha, \beta + n\alpha)\\ &= n^2 q'(\alpha, \alpha) + n(q'(\beta, \alpha) + q'(\alpha, \beta)) + q'(\beta, \beta)\\ &= n^2 + 2nq'(\alpha, \beta) + q(\beta),\end{aligned}$$

where $2q'(-,-) = q(-,-)$. Since q is non-negative, by evaluating $\varepsilon(-q'(\alpha, \beta))$ we obtain that $q'(\alpha, \beta)^2 \leqslant q(\beta)$. If $\beta \in q^{-1}(0)$, it follows that $\varepsilon(n) \in \{0, 1\}$ if and only if $n \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ and taking $d = u = 1$ gives the result. If $\beta \in q^{-1}(1)$, then $\varepsilon(n) \in \{0, 1\}$ if and only if $n \in \{0, -q'(\alpha, \beta), -2q'(\alpha, \beta)\}$. Furthermore, we have that $q(\alpha, \alpha) = 2$ and hence

$$2q'(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{2q(\alpha, \beta)}{q(\alpha, \alpha)}.$$

Since $-1 \leqslant q'(\alpha, \beta) \leqslant 1$, the claim follows in this case also.

We now show that $R(q)$ satisfies axiom (R7). Let $R(q)^\times = R_1 \dot{\cup} R_2$. Without loss of generality, it follows from the connectedness of q that $\{c_1, \ldots, c_n\} \subset R_1$. On the other hand, for any $\alpha \in R_2$ there exist $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i c_i$. Thus,

$$q(\alpha, \alpha) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha_i q(c_i, \alpha) = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in R_2 \subset R(q)^\times,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence R_2 must be empty. This shows that $R(q)$ is irreducible. □

Remark 2.5. In view of Construction 2.3 and [3, Thm. (Dynkin-types)], we have that

$$R(q) = \operatorname{rad} q \cup \left(\bigcup_{\dot\alpha \in \dot R(q)^\times} \dot\alpha + \operatorname{rad} q \right),$$

where $\dot R(q)$ is a finite dimensional irreducible root system of the Dynkin type of q.

3. The extended affine Lie algebra associated with a connected non-negative unit form

To a connected non-negative unit form $q : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}$, we associate an EALA $\mathcal{E}^\rho(q)$ by modifying the construction of [2, Sec. 2], *cf.* [4]. The modification concerns the root spaces attached to non-zero isotropic roots. We give the proof of Theorem 1.1 at the end of the section.

Let $q : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a connected non-negative unit form with symmetric matrix $C \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$. For simplicity, we write

$$R = R(q),\ R^0 = R(q)^0 \text{ and } R^\times = R(q)^\times.$$

Let $\operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be the image of the complex vector space $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{rad} q$ under the $\mathbb{C}$-linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{rad} q &\to \mathbb{C}^n \\ t \otimes \alpha &\mapsto t\alpha; \end{aligned}$$

and define complex vector spaces $\mathcal{E}(q)_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in R$ by

$$\mathcal{E}(q)_\alpha := \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}e_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha \in R^\times, \\ \mathbb{C}^n / \operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q & \text{if } \alpha \in R^0 \setminus \{0\}, \\ \mathbb{C}^n \oplus (\operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q)^* & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $(\operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q)^*$ denotes the (usual) complex dual of $\operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q$. Let us define

$$\mathcal{E}^\rho(q) := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R} \mathcal{E}(q)_\alpha$$

as a vector space. We wish to endow $\mathcal{E}^\rho(q)$ with a Lie algebra structure. Let $\pi_\alpha : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathcal{E}(q)_\alpha$ be the canonical projection for each non-zero $\alpha \in R^0$. For notational purposes, let us denote by π_0 the identity map of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Following [2], choose a non-symmetric bilinear form $B : \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}$ such that $q(x) = B(x, x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and set $\epsilon(\alpha, \beta) = (-1)^{B(\alpha,\beta)}$. Finally, choose any projection $\rho : \mathbb{C}^n \to \operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q$ and define the following bracket rules that depend on the choice of ρ.

Let $\alpha, \beta \in R^\times,\ \sigma, \tau \in R^0,\ v, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\xi, \zeta \in (\operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q)^*$:

$$[\pi_\sigma(v), \pi_\tau(w)] = \epsilon(\sigma, \tau) q(v, w) \pi_{\sigma+\tau}(\sigma). \tag{B1}$$

$$[\pi_\sigma(v), e_\beta] = -[e_\beta, \pi_\sigma(v)] = \epsilon(\sigma, \beta) q(v, \beta) e_{\beta+\sigma}. \tag{B2}$$

$$[e_\alpha, e_\beta] = \begin{cases} \epsilon(\alpha, \beta) e_{\alpha+\beta} & \text{if } \alpha + \beta \in R^\times, \\ \epsilon(\alpha, \beta) \pi_{\alpha+\beta}(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha + \beta \in R^0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{B3}$$

$$[\xi, e_\beta] = -[e_\beta, \xi] = \xi\rho(\beta) e_\beta. \tag{B4}$$

$$[\xi, \pi_\tau(w)] = -[\pi_\tau(w), \xi] = \xi\rho(\tau)\pi_\tau(w). \tag{B5}$$

$$[\xi, \zeta] = 0. \tag{B6}$$

The following lemma collects some properties of $\epsilon(-,-)$ which follow directly from the definition.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in R^\times$ and $\sigma, \tau \in R^0$. Then*

(i) $\epsilon(\alpha, \alpha) = -1$ *and* $\varepsilon(\sigma, \sigma) = 1$.
(ii) $\epsilon(\sigma, \beta) = \epsilon(\beta, \sigma)$ *and* $\epsilon(\sigma, \tau) = \epsilon(\tau, \sigma)$.
(iii) $\epsilon(\alpha + \sigma, \beta + \tau) = \epsilon(\alpha, \beta)\epsilon(\alpha, \tau)\epsilon(\sigma, \beta)\epsilon(\sigma, \tau)$.
(iv) *If* $\alpha + \beta \in R^\times$ *then* $\epsilon(\alpha, \beta) = -\epsilon(\beta, \alpha)$.
(v) *If* $\alpha + \beta \in R^0$ *then* $\epsilon(\alpha, \beta) = \epsilon(\beta, \alpha)$.

Remark 3.2. Observe that rule (B1) can be split in two rules as follows:

$$[\pi_\sigma(v), \pi_{-\sigma}(w)] = q(v, w)\pi_0(\sigma). \tag{B1.1}$$

$$[\pi_\sigma(v), \pi_\tau(w)] = 0 \text{ if } \sigma + \tau \neq 0. \tag{B1.2}$$

Moreover, if $\alpha, \beta \in R^\times$ are such that $\alpha+\beta \in R^0 = \operatorname{rad} q$ then $\pi_{\alpha+\beta}(\beta) = -\pi_{\alpha+\beta}(\alpha)$. Hence the above bracket is anticommutative.

Recall that $R(q)$ contains a finite dimensional irreducible root system $\dot{R} = \dot{R}(q)$ of the Dynkin type of q, *cf.* Remark 2.5. Let $\{\dot{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \dot{\alpha}_\ell\}$ be a basis for $\dot{R}$ and let $\dot{C}_{ij} := q(\dot{\alpha}_i, \dot{\alpha}_j)$. Also, let $h_i = \pi_0(\dot{\alpha}_i)$ for each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell$. Then, the elements $-e_{-\dot{\alpha}_i}, h_i, e_{\dot{\alpha}_i}$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell)$ satisfy the usual Serre relations:

(S1) $[h_i, h_j] = 0$ for $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant \ell$.
(S2) $[h_i, e_{\varepsilon\dot{\alpha}_j}] = \varepsilon \dot{C}_{ij} e_{\varepsilon\dot{\alpha}_j}$ for $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant \ell$ and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$.
(S3) $[e_{\varepsilon\dot{\alpha}_i}, -e_{-\varepsilon\dot{\alpha}_i}] = \varepsilon h_i$ for $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant \ell$ and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$.
(S4) $(\operatorname{ad} e_{\varepsilon\dot{\alpha}_i})^{1+k}(e_{\delta\dot{\alpha}_j}) = 0$, where $k = \max\{0, -\varepsilon\delta\dot{C}_{ij}\}$ for $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant \ell$ and $\varepsilon, \delta = \pm 1$.

Hence, the elements $e_{-\dot{\alpha}_i}, h_i, e_{\dot{\alpha}_i}$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell)$ generate a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra $\dot{\mathcal{E}}(q)$ of the Dynkin type of q.

Proposition 3.3. *The rules (B1)-(B6) define a Lie algebra structure on $\mathcal{E}^\rho(q)$ which is compatible with the Lie algebra structure of $\dot{\mathcal{E}}(q)$,* i.e. *such that $\dot{\mathcal{E}}(q)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{E}^\rho(q)$.*

Proof. We only need to verify Jacobi's identity

$$[x, [y, z]] + [y, [z, x]] + [z, [x, y]] = 0$$

for homogeneous elements $x, y, z \in \mathcal{E}^\rho(q)$. For this, we distinguish several cases. Let $\deg x = d$ for $x \in \mathcal{E}^\rho(q)_d$, $\delta = \deg x + \deg y + \deg z$ and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. We treat the case where $x, y, z \notin (\operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q)^*$ first. Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in R^\times$, $\sigma, \tau, \kappa \in R^0$ and $u, v, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

(i) $\deg x, \deg y, \deg z \in R^0$: Since $q(-, \tau) = 0$, whe have that

$$[\pi_\sigma(u), [\pi_\tau(v), \pi_\kappa(w)]] = \varepsilon q(u, \tau) q(v, w) \pi_{\tau+\kappa}(\tau) = 0.$$

Hence Jacobi's identity follows immediately.

(ii) $\deg x \in R^\times$ and $\deg y, \deg z \in R^0$: Since $q(\sigma, -) = 0$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
[e_\alpha, [\pi_\sigma(u), \pi_\tau(v)]] &= -\varepsilon q(\sigma, \alpha) q(u, v) e_\delta, \\
[\pi_\sigma(u), [\pi_\tau(v), e_\alpha]] &= \epsilon(\sigma, \tau + \alpha)\epsilon(\tau, \alpha) q(u, \alpha) q(v, \alpha) e_\delta, \\
[\pi_\tau(v), [e_\alpha, \pi_\sigma(u)]] &= -\epsilon(\tau, \alpha + \sigma)(\alpha, \sigma) q(v, \alpha) q(u, \alpha) e_\delta.
\end{aligned}$$

Then Jacobi's identity follows from Lemma 3.1.

(iii) $\deg x \in R^0$ and $\deg y, \deg z \in R^\times$: We distinguish two subcases: If $\deg y + \deg z \in R^\times$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
[\pi_\sigma(u), [e_\alpha, e_\beta]] &= \epsilon(\sigma, \alpha + \beta)\epsilon(\alpha, \beta) q(u, \alpha + \beta) e_\delta, \\
[e_\alpha, [e_\beta, \pi_\sigma(u)]] &= -\epsilon(\alpha, \beta + \sigma)\epsilon(\beta, \sigma) q(u, \beta) e_\delta, \\
[e_\beta, [\pi_\sigma(u), e_\alpha]] &= \epsilon(\beta, \sigma + \alpha)\epsilon(\sigma, \alpha) q(u, \alpha) e_\delta,
\end{aligned}$$

since $\varepsilon(\beta, \alpha) = -\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)$ the identity follows, *cf.* Lemma 3.1(iv). Now suppose that $\deg y + \deg z \in R^0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
[\pi_\sigma(u), [e_\alpha, e_\beta]] &= \varepsilon q(u, \alpha) \pi_\delta(\sigma), \\
[e_\alpha, [e_\beta, \pi_\sigma(u)]] &= -\varepsilon q(u, \beta) \pi_\delta(\alpha), \\
[e_\beta, [\pi_\sigma(u), e_\alpha]] &= \varepsilon q(u, \alpha) \pi_\delta(\beta).
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that since $\delta \in R^0$ we have that $\pi_\delta(-a) = \pi_\delta(\beta + \sigma)$, *cf.* Remark 3.2. Hence, in this case, Jacobi's identity can be rewritten as

$$\varepsilon(q(u, \alpha)\pi_\delta(\sigma + \beta) - q(u, \beta)\pi_\delta(\alpha)) = \varepsilon(q(u, \alpha + \beta)\pi_\delta(-\alpha)) = 0,$$

since $q(-, \alpha + \beta) = 0$.

(iv) $\deg x, \deg y, \deg z \in R^{\times}$: Let $\dot\alpha,\ \dot\beta,\ \dot\gamma \in \dot R^{\times}$. Since $\dot{\mathcal{E}}(q)$ is a Lie algebra, we have that

$$[e_{\dot\alpha}, [e_{\dot\beta}, e_{\dot\gamma}]] + [e_{\dot\beta}, [e_{\dot\gamma}, e_{\dot\alpha}]] + [e_{\dot\gamma}[e_{\dot\alpha}, e_{\dot\beta}]] = \lambda x_{\dot\delta} = 0.$$

where $\dot\delta = \dot\alpha + \dot\beta + \dot\gamma$. Now suppose that

$$\alpha = \dot\alpha + \sigma,\ \beta = \dot\beta + \tau \text{ and } \gamma = \dot\gamma + \kappa.$$

Then the sign ocurring in $[e_\alpha, [e_\beta, e_\gamma]]$ is given by

$$\epsilon(\sigma,\tau)\epsilon(\sigma,\kappa)\epsilon(\tau,\kappa)\epsilon(\dot\alpha,\tau+\kappa)\epsilon(\dot\beta,\sigma+\kappa)\epsilon(\dot\gamma,\sigma+\tau)\epsilon(\dot\alpha,\dot\beta+\dot\gamma)\epsilon(\dot\beta,\dot\gamma).$$

Moreover, since $\epsilon(\sigma,-) = \epsilon(-,\sigma)$, $q(\alpha,-) - q(\dot\alpha,-)$ and $\pi_\sigma(\alpha) = \pi_\sigma(\dot\alpha)$, we have that

$$[e_\alpha, [e_\beta, e_\gamma]] + [e_\beta, [e_\gamma, e_\alpha]] + [e_\gamma[e_\alpha, e_\beta]] = \varepsilon\lambda x_\delta = 0.$$

Now we verify Jacobi's identity when at least one element lies in $(\operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q)^*$. Let $\xi, \zeta \in (\operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q)^*$ and let $x \in \mathcal{E}(q)_\alpha$ and $y \in \mathcal{E}(q)_\beta$ for some non-zero $\alpha \in R$. We have two cases:

$$\begin{aligned}[\xi,[\xi',x]] + [\xi',[x,\xi]] + [x,[\xi,\xi']] =& \xi'\rho(\alpha)[\xi,x] - \xi\rho(\alpha)[\xi',x] \\ =& \xi'\rho(\alpha)\xi\rho(\alpha)x - \xi\rho(\alpha)\xi'\rho(\alpha)x \\ =& 0,\end{aligned}$$

and since $[x,y] \in \mathcal{E}(q)_{\alpha+\beta}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}[\xi,[x,y]] + [x,[y,\xi]] + [y,[\xi,x]] =& \xi'\rho(\alpha+\beta)[x,y] - \xi\rho(\beta)[x,y] + \xi\rho(\alpha)[y,x] \\ =& 0.\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.4. The structure of $\mathcal{E}^\rho(q)$ is independent of the choice of ρ. Instead of proving this directly, we will obtain this fact as an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.2 and [2, Prop. 5.3(i)], *cf.* Corollary 4.4.

We now show that there exists an invariant non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot,\cdot) : \mathcal{E}^\rho(q) \times \mathcal{E}^\rho(q) \to \mathbb{C}$. We define such a form on $\mathcal{E}^\rho(q)$ by the following rules:

$$\begin{aligned}(e_\alpha, -e_{-\alpha}) &= 1 \text{ for } \alpha \in R^\times, \\ (\pi_\sigma(v), \pi_{-\sigma}(w)) &= q(v,w) \text{ for } \sigma \in R^0 \text{ and } v,w \in \mathbb{C}^n, \\ (\xi, \pi_0(w)) &= \xi\rho(w) \text{ for } w \in \mathbb{C}^n,\end{aligned}$$

and zero in other cases.

Proposition 3.5. *The symmetric bilinear form* $(\cdot,\cdot) : \mathcal{E}^\rho(q) \times \mathcal{E}^\rho(q) \to \mathbb{C}$ *is invariant and non-degenerate.*

Proof. We show first that $(\cdot,\cdot)$ is non-degenerate. Let $x \in \mathcal{E}(q)_\alpha$ be non-zero. If $\alpha \in R^\times$ then $x_\alpha = \lambda e_\alpha$ for some non-zero $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence $(x_\alpha, -e_{-\alpha}) = \lambda \neq 0$. Suppose that $\alpha \in R^0$ is non-zero. Let $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$, if $\pi_\alpha(v) \neq 0$ then $v \notin \operatorname{rad} q$, hence there exists some non-zero $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $(\pi_\alpha(v), \pi_{-\alpha}(w)) = q(v,w) \neq 0$. Thus the form is non-degenerate in this case also. Finally, let $\alpha = 0$, then for every non-zero $v \in \operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q$ there exists $\xi \in (\operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q)^*$ such that $\xi(v) \neq 0$, and for any non-zero $\xi \in (\operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q)^*$ there is some $v \in \operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q$ with $\xi(v) \neq 0$. This shows that the form $(\cdot,\cdot)$ is non-degenerate.

Now, we prove that the form is invariant. Observe that it is sufficient to prove that

$$(x,[y,z]) = ([x,y],z)$$

for homogeneous elements $x, y, z \in \mathcal{E}^{\rho}(q)$ such that $\deg x + \deg y + \deg z = 0$, where $\deg x = d$ for $x \in \mathcal{E}^{\rho}(q)_d$. In order to prove this, we distinguish various cases.First, we treat the case where $x, y, z \notin (\operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q)^*$. Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in R^{\times}$ and $\sigma, \tau, \kappa \in R^0$. Also, let $u, v, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\varepsilon, \delta = \pm 1$.

(i) If $\deg x, \deg y, \deg z \in R^0$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}(\pi_\sigma(u), [\pi_\tau(v), \pi_\kappa(w)]) =& \varepsilon q(v,w)(\pi_\sigma(u), \pi_{-\sigma}(\tau)) \in \mathbb{C}q(u,\tau) = \{0\} \text{ and}\\ ([\pi_\sigma(u), \pi_\tau(v)], \pi_\kappa(w)) =& \delta q(u,v)(\pi_{-\kappa}(\sigma), \pi_\kappa(w)) \in \mathbb{C}q(\sigma, w) = \{0\}.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) If $\deg x \in R^0$ and $\deg y, \deg z \in R^{\times}$ then whe have that

$$\begin{aligned}(\pi_\sigma(u), [e_\beta, e_\gamma]) =& \epsilon(\beta,\gamma)(\pi_\sigma(u), \pi_{-\sigma}(\beta)) = \epsilon(\beta,\gamma) q(u,\beta) \text{ and}\\ ([\pi_\sigma(u), e_\beta], e_\gamma) =& \epsilon(\sigma,\beta) q(u,\beta)(e_{-\gamma}, e_\gamma) = -\epsilon(\sigma,\beta)\epsilon(\gamma,\beta) q(u,\beta).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma = -(\beta+\gamma)$, the equality follows from Lemma 3.1.

(iii) If $\deg x, \deg z \in R^{\times}$ and $\deg y \in R^0$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}(e_\alpha, [\pi_\tau(v), e_\gamma]) =& \epsilon(\tau,\gamma) q(v,\gamma)(e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}) = -\epsilon(\tau,\gamma) q(v,\gamma) \text{ and}\\ ([e_\alpha, \pi_\tau(v)], e_\gamma) =& \epsilon(\tau,\alpha) q(v,\alpha)(-e_{-\gamma}, e_\gamma) = \epsilon(\tau,\alpha) q(v,\alpha).\end{aligned}$$

Since $-\gamma = \alpha + \tau$ we have that $q(u,-\gamma) = q(u,\alpha)$ and $\epsilon(\tau,\gamma) = \epsilon(\tau,\alpha)$, *cf.* Lemma 3.1.

(iv) If $\deg x, \deg y, \deg z \in R^{\times}$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}(e_\alpha, [e_\beta, e_\gamma]) =& \epsilon(\beta,\gamma) q(\beta,\gamma)(e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}) = -\epsilon(\beta,\gamma) q(\beta,\gamma) \text{ and}\\ ([e_\alpha, e_\beta], e_\gamma) =& \epsilon(\alpha,\beta) q(\alpha,\beta)(e_{-\gamma}, e_\gamma) = -\epsilon(\alpha,\beta) q(\alpha,\beta).\end{aligned}$$

Here, the equality of these two expressions is not evident. But in fact,

$$q(\alpha,\beta) - q(\gamma,\beta) = q(\alpha, -\alpha-\gamma) - q(\gamma, -\alpha-\gamma) = 0,$$

since $\beta = -(\alpha+\gamma)$. Analogously, $-\epsilon(\beta,\gamma) = -\epsilon(\alpha,\beta) = \epsilon(\alpha,\gamma)$, *cf.* Lemma 3.1.

We now consider the case when at least one of the elements involved lies in $(\operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q)^*$. We must show that

$$(\xi, [x_\alpha, x_{-\alpha}]) = ([\xi, x_\alpha], x_{-\alpha})$$

for $\xi \in (\operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q)^*$, $x_{\varepsilon\alpha} \in \mathcal{E}(q)_{\varepsilon\alpha}$, $\alpha \in R$ and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. There are two non-trivial cases:

(i) Let $\xi \in (\operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q)^*$, $v, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and let $\sigma \in R^0$ be non-zero. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(\xi, [\pi_\sigma(v), \pi_{-\sigma}(w)]) &= q(v,w)(\xi, \pi_0(\sigma)) = q(v,w)\xi(\sigma) \text{ and}\\ ([\xi, \pi_\sigma(v)], \pi_{-\sigma}(w)) &= \xi(\sigma)(\pi_\sigma(v), \pi_{-\sigma}(w)) = \xi(\sigma) q(v,w).\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let $\xi \in (\operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q)^*$ and let $\alpha \in R^{\times}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(\xi, [e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}]) &= \epsilon(\alpha,\alpha)(\xi, \pi_0(\alpha)) = -\xi\rho(\alpha) \text{ and}\\ ([\xi, e_\alpha], e_{-\alpha}) &= \xi\rho(\alpha)(e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}) = -\xi\rho(\alpha).\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We have already shown that $\mathcal{E}^{\rho}(q)$ satisfies axiom (EA1), *cf.* Proposition 3.5. We let $H := \dot{H} \oplus (\operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q)^*$. It is clear that the Lie algebra $\mathcal{E}^{\rho}(q)$ satisfies axioms (EA2)-(EA5) of extended affine Lie algebras, *cf.* Definition

2.1. Hence the triple $(\mathcal{E}^\rho(q), (\cdot,\cdot), H)$ is an EALA with root system R. This proves Theorem 1.1. $\square$

4. A KAC-MOODY-LIKE CONSTRUCTION OF $\mathcal{E}^\rho(q)$

We give an alternative construction of $\mathcal{E}^\rho(q)$ as a quotient of the Lie algebra $\tilde{G}(q)$ defined in [2]. This generalizes the construction of the simply-laced affine Kac-Moody algebras to higher coranks. We recall the construction of $\tilde{G}(q)$ and some necessary results regarding the Lie algebra $\tilde{G}(q)$, *cf.* [2]. We give the proof of Theorem 1.2 at the end of the section.

Let $q : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a connected non-negative unit form with symmetric matrix $C \in \mathbb{Z}^{n\times n}$ and let $R := R(q)$ be the EARS associated with q and let us denote by $R^0 := q^{-1}(0)$ and $R^\times := q^{-1}(1)$ the sets of isotropic and non-isotropic roots respectively, *cf.* Section 2. Let FL be the free Lie algebra on $3n$ generators f_{-i}, h_i, f_i $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$ which are homogeneous of degree $-c_i, 0, c_i$ respectively. Let $I(q)$ be the ideal of FL defined by the following generalized Serre relations:

(G1) $[h_i, h_j] = 0$ for $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n$.
(G2) $[h_i, f_{\varepsilon j}] = \varepsilon C_{ij} f_{\varepsilon j}$ for $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n$ and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$.
(G3) $[f_{\varepsilon i}, f_{-\varepsilon i}] = \varepsilon h_i$ for $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n$ and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$.
(G∞) $[f_{\varepsilon_t i_t}, \ldots, f_{\varepsilon_1 i_1}] = 0$ whenever $\sum_{k=1}^t \varepsilon_k c_{i_k} \notin R$ for $\varepsilon_k = \pm 1$.

Let $G(q) = FL/I(q)$ and let H be the abelian subalgebra of $G(q)$ generated by $h_1, \ldots, h_n$. A *monomial* in $G(q)$ is an element obtained from the generators using the bracket only. Thus every monomial has a well defined degree. Let $G(q)_\alpha$ be the space generated by the monomials of degree α. In general, the algebra $G(q)$ does not have a root space decomposition, *cf.* [2, Sec. 5]. In order to achieve such a decomposition we extend $FL/I(q)$ by $(\operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q)^*$, the complex dual of $\operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q$. Let $\rho : \mathbb{C}^n \to \operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q$ be any projection. We define $\tilde{G}(q) := FL/I(q) \oplus (\operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q)^*$ and we let $\tilde{H} = H \oplus (\operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q)^*$. We define the following bracket rules which depend on the choice of ρ:

$$[\xi, x] = -[x, \xi] = \xi\rho(\alpha)x \text{ for } \xi \in (\operatorname{rad} q)^* \text{and} x \in G(q).$$
$$[\xi, \zeta] = 0 \text{ for } \xi, \zeta \in (\operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q)^*.$$

Proposition 4.1. [2, Lem. 5.2, Prop. 5.3(i)] *Using the Lie algebra structure on $G(q)$, the rules above define a Lie algebra structure on $\tilde{G}(q)$ which is independent of the choice of ρ.*

For $h = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i h_i \in H \subset \tilde{G}(q)$, define $r(h) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i c_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We define a bilinear form $(\cdot,\cdot) : \tilde{H} \times H \to \mathbb{C}^n$ by the rules

$$(h, h') = h^\top C h' \text{ for } h, h' \in H,$$
$$(\xi, h) = \xi\rho(r(h)) \text{ for } \xi \in (\operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q)^* \text{and} h \in H.$$

We observe that this form is non-degenerate in the second variable. Indeed, if $r(h) \in \operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q$ is non-zero then there exist $\xi \in (\operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q)^*$ such that $\xi(r(h)) \neq 0$.

Proposition 4.2. [2, Prop. 5.3 (ii)] *The Lie algebra $\tilde{G}(q)$ admits a root space decomposition, that is $\tilde{G}(q) = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in R\cup\{0\}} \tilde{G}(q)_\alpha$, where*

$$\tilde{G}(q)_\alpha = \{x \in \tilde{G}(q) : [h, x] = (r(h), \alpha)x \textit{ for all } h \in H\} \textit{ for } \alpha \in R.$$

We now proceed in analogy with the construction of the Kac-Moody algebras, *cf.* [5, Ch. 14]. The Lie algebra $\tilde{G}(q)$ is an $\tilde{H}^*$-graded $\tilde{H}$-module, hence it contains a unique maximal ideal I such that $I \cap \tilde{H} = \{0\}$. We now show there is an isomorphism of graded Lie algebras bewteen $\tilde{G}^\rho(q)/I$ and $\mathcal{E}^\rho(q)$. The following proposition describes the relationship between $\tilde{G}^\rho(q)$ and $\mathcal{E}^\rho(q)$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $q : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a connected non-negative unit form and let $R(q)$ be the EARS associated with q,* cf. *Proposition 2.4. Then there is an epimorphism of graded Lie algebras $\tilde{G}^\rho(q) \to \mathcal{E}^\rho(q)$ which induces an isomorphism between $\tilde{H} \subset \tilde{G}^\rho(q)$ and $H \subset \mathcal{E}^\rho(q)$.*

Proof. Let $h'_i = [e_{c_i}, -e_{-c_i}] \in \mathcal{E}^\rho(q)$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. It is readily verified that the elements $-e_{-c_i}, h'_i, e_{c_i}$ of $\mathcal{E}^\rho(q)$ satisfy relations (G1)-(G∞) and that they generate $\mathbb{C}^n \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \neq 0} \mathcal{E}(q)_\alpha$ as a Lie algebra, *cf.* Definition 2.2 and (R6). Hence there is an epimorphism of graded Lie algebras $G(q) \to \mathbb{C}^n \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \neq 0} \mathcal{E}(q)_\alpha$ mapping h_i to h'_i and $f_{\varepsilon i}$ to $e_{\varepsilon c_i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ with the required property. This morphism extends in a natural way to an epimorphism of graded Lie algebras $\tilde{G}^\rho(q) \to \mathcal{E}^\rho(q)$. The last statement follows directly from Proposition 3.3. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It suffices to show that $\mathcal{E}^\rho(q)$ has no non-zero ideal I with $I \cap \tilde{H} = \{0\}$, as in this case the epimorphism from Proposition 4.3 induces an isomorphism of graded Lie algebras $\tilde{G}^\rho(q)/I \to \mathcal{E}^\rho(q)$. Suppose I is a non-zero ideal of $\mathcal{E}^\rho(q)$ with $I \cap H = \{0\}$. Then I is a graded ideal, that is

$$I = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R} (\mathcal{E}(q)_\alpha \cap I).$$

Let $x \in (\mathcal{E}(q)_\alpha \cap I)$ be a non-zero homogenous element of degree $\alpha \in R$. We distinguish two cases:

(i) If $\alpha \in R^\times$ then we may assume that $x = e_\alpha$. But $0 \neq [e_\alpha, -e_{-\alpha}] = \pi_0(\alpha) \in (H \cap I)$ as I is an ideal, which contradicts $I \cap H = \{0\}$.

(ii) If $\alpha \in R^0$ is non-zero then $x = \pi_\alpha(v)$ for some $v \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \operatorname{rad}_{\mathbb{C}} q$. Hence there exists some $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $q(v, w) \neq 0$. Thus $0 \neq [\pi_\alpha(v), \pi_{-\alpha}(w)] = q(v, w)\pi_0(\alpha) \in (H \cap I)$, which again contradicts $I \cap H = \{0\}$. This concludes the proof. □

Corollary 4.4. *Let q be a connected non-negative unit form. Then, the Lie algebra structure of $\mathcal{E}^\rho(q)$ is independent of the choice of ρ.*

Proof. It follows immediately from Proposition 4.1, Theorem 1.2 and [2, Prop. 5.3(i)] which states that $\tilde{G}^\rho(q)$ is independent of the choice of ρ. □

References

[1] B. Allison, S.Azam, S. Berman, Y. Gao and A. Pianzola: *Extended affine Lie algebras and their root systems, chap. I.* Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 603 (1997).

[2] M. Barot, D. Kussin and H. Lenzing: *The Lie algebra associated to a unit form.* J. Algebra 296 (2006), 1-17.

[3] M. Barot, J. A. de la Peña: *The Dynkin type of a non-negative unit form.* Expo. Math. 17 (1999), 339-348.

[4] R. Borcherds: *Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras and the monster.* Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 83 (1986), 3068-3071.

[5] R. Carter: *Lie Algebras of Finite and Affine Type.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2005).

[6] G. Jasso: *Álgebras de Lie de tipo afín extendido y formas cuadráticas.* Undergraduate Thesis, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (2010).

Instituto de Matemáticas, Univerisdad Nacional Autótonoma de México. Ciudad Universitaria, 04510 Mexico City, MEXICO

Current address: Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University. Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, 464-8602 Nagoya, JAPAN

E-mail address: `jasso.ahuja.gustavo@b.mbox.nagoya-u.ac.jp`